

Note on Spin Structure of the Classical Vector Spin Heisenberg Model

Kiyosi TERAOKA*

Faculty of Science, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621

(Received May 4, 2005)

KEYWORDS: spin structure, classical spin, Heisenberg model, chirality

The energy of the classical spin Heisenberg model is written as

$$E = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n}\nu, \mathbf{m}\mu} J_{\mathbf{n}\nu, \mathbf{m}\mu} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{m}\mu}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}$ is the spin at the ν -th site in the $\mathbf{n}$ -th unit cell and $J_{\mathbf{n}\nu, \mathbf{m}\mu}$ is the exchange parameter connecting spins at $\mathbf{n}\nu$ and $\mathbf{m}\mu$ atoms. We treat the spin $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}$ as a classical vector of magnitude s . The energy is written using Fourier components as

$$E = -\frac{N}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\nu, \mu} J_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mu}(-\mathbf{k}), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave vector in the first Brillouin zone and

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}), \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3)$$

and

$$J_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} J_{\mathbf{n}\nu, \mathbf{n}\mu} \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\mu})]. \quad (4)$$

The ground-state spin structure is determined by minimizing E in eq. (2) under the constraint

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}') \exp[i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}] \\ &= s^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for any $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}$, from which

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(-\mathbf{k}) = s^2, \quad (6a)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}') = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' \neq 0. \quad (6b)$$

If we assume that the ground state is described by a single pair of $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{q}$ and $-\mathbf{q}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} = \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}) + \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(-\mathbf{q}) \exp(-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu}), \quad (7)$$

the constraint condition is written as

$$2\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(-\mathbf{q}) = s^2, \quad (8a)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = 0. \quad (8b)$$

On the basis of this assumption, Yoshimori¹ and Nagamiya² have studied the helical (screw) spin structure. Hereafter, we refer to this assumption as the single $\mathbf{q}$ model. Decomposing $\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ into real and imaginary

parts, $\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{s}'_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) + i\mathbf{s}''_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$, we may rewrite the constraint condition, eqs. (8a) and (8b), as

$$2[\mathbf{s}'_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})^2 + \mathbf{s}''_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})^2] = s^2, \quad (9a)$$

$$\mathbf{s}'_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})^2 - \mathbf{s}''_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})^2 = 0, \quad (9b)$$

$$\mathbf{s}'_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}''_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = 0. \quad (9c)$$

As $\mathbf{s}'_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{s}''_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$, $\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ is represented as

$$\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{s}{2} u_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) [\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) - i\mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})], \quad (10)$$

using a set of orthonormal real vectors $\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ and $\mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$, where $u_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ is a phase factor. For two sites per unit cell, Yoshimori¹ and Nagamiya² have pointed out that $[\mathbf{i}_1(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_1(\mathbf{q})]$ and $[\mathbf{i}_2(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_2(\mathbf{q})]$ lie on the same plane. In this note, we prove that $[\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})]$ are independent of ν for any number of sites per unit cell.

Now, we consider the translational invariance of $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{m}\mu}$ for $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} \neq \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}\mu}$. For the single $\mathbf{q}$ model, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{m}\mu} &= \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \exp[i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}\mu})] \\ &+ \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mu}(-\mathbf{q}) \exp[i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}\mu})] \\ &+ \text{complex conj.} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This should be independent of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}\nu} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}\mu}$ because of the translational invariance of E . Then,

$$\mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) = 0 \quad (12)$$

for any ν and μ . Using eq.(10),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{s^2}{4} u_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) u_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \left\{ [\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q})] \right. \\ &\left. - i[\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q})] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) = 0, \quad (14a)$$

$$\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) = 0. \quad (14b)$$

Without losing generality, we set an (x, y, z) -coordinate system as $\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = (0, 1, 0)$. We assume $[\mathbf{i}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q})]$ is obtained from $[\mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})]$ by rotating the Eulerian angles ψ about the z -axis, θ about the y' -axis and the ϕ about the z'' -axis, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\psi, \theta, \phi) \mathbf{i}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) &= \mathbf{i}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi \cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \psi \sin \phi \\ \sin \psi \cos \theta \cos \phi + \cos \psi \sin \phi \\ -\sin \theta \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (15a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\psi, \theta, \phi) \mathbf{j}_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) &= \mathbf{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \psi \cos \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi \\ -\sin \psi \cos \theta \sin \phi + \cos \psi \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (15b)$$

where $\mathcal{R}(\psi, \theta, \phi)$ denotes the rotation operator. Then eqs. (14a) and (14b), respectively, are represented by the Eulerian angles as

$$\cos(\psi - \phi)[\cos \theta - 1] = 0, \quad (16a)$$

$$\sin(\psi - \phi)[\cos \theta - 1] = 0. \quad (16b)$$

*E-mail address: terk005@shinshu-u.ac.jp

Consequently, $\theta = 0$, which means that the rotation consistent with the translational invariance of E is the one about the z -axis by angle $\psi + \phi$. The orthonormal sets $[\mathbf{i}_\nu(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_\nu(\mathbf{q})]$ and $[\mathbf{i}_\mu(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}_\mu(\mathbf{q})]$ are on parallel planes. The rotation by angle $\psi + \phi$ about the z -axis can be included in the initial phase difference between $u_\nu(\mathbf{q})$ and $u_\mu(\mathbf{q})$. Then we can choose an orthonormal set $[\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q})]$ without considering the sites. The spins at different sites rotate in parallel $[\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q})]$ planes. The orientation of the planes is not related to the crystal axis or the direction of $\mathbf{q}$.

Thus, we have resolved the ambiguity about the site dependence of $[\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{q})]$ in the review by Nagamiya.² Note that the single- $\mathbf{q}$ assumption, eq.(7), excludes the chiral order parameter of the vector triple product, $\vec{\chi} = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot [\mathbf{s}_j \times \mathbf{s}_k]$, of any spins. It is intriguing whether $\vec{\chi}$ can appear as an order parameter in a translationally ordered ground state.

- 1) A. Yoshimori: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **14** (1959) 807.
- 2) T. Nagamiya: Solid State Phys. **20** (1967) 305.